

St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

For local tracking purposes: Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached forms - additional local requirements may also apply. Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner within 15 days System Status System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): Compliant – Certificate of Compliance Noncompliant – Notice of Noncompliance (Valid for 3 years from report date, unless shorter time (See Upgrade Requirements on page 3) frame outlined in Local Ordinance.) Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable) Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) - Imminent threat to public health and safety Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) - Imminent threat to public health and safety ☐ Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect groundwater Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater ☐ Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwater Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component #5) – Noncompliant **Property Information** Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: Property address: Reason for inspection: Property owner: Owner's phone: or Representative phone: Owner's representative: Local regulatory authority: Regulatory authority phone: Brief system description: Comments or recommendations: Certification I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage. Inspector name: Certification number: Business name: License number: Inspector signature: Phone number: **Necessary or Locally Required Attachments** ☐ System/As-built drawing ☐ Soil boring logs ☐ Forms per local ordinance Other information (list):

Prop	perty address:		Inspector initials/Date:			
_						
<u>1.</u>	Impact on Public Health – Co	mpliance component	t #1 of 5			
	Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):			
	System discharge sewage to the	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Searched for surface outlet			
	ground surface.		Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home			
	System discharge sewage to drain tile	☐ Yes ☐ No	Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes			
	or surface waters.		☐ Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation)☐ "Black soil" above soil dispersal system			
	System cause sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes ☐ No	System requires "emergency" pumping Performed dye test			
	Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an Imminent Threat to Public Health and Safety.		 ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) 			
	Comments/Explanation:					
	r					
2.	Tank Integrity – Compliance cor	nponent #2 of 5				
	Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):			
			<u> </u>			
	System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.	Yes No	☐ Probed tank(s) bottom☐ Examined construction records			
	Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be		Examined construction records Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)			
	compliant if allowed in local ordinance.		Observed liquid level below operating depth			
	Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes ☐ No	Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)			
	designed operating depth.		☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"			
	If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)			
	Any "yes" answer above indic		☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)			
	system is Failing to Protect Gr	oundwater.	_ Strict metrious not listed (see comments/Explanation)			
	Comments/Explanation:					
_						
<u>3.</u>	Other Compliance Condition	S – Compliance com	ponent #3 of 5			
	a. Maintenance hole covers are damage	d, cracked, unsecured,	or appear to structurally unsound. $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$			
	b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. Yes* No Unknown *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety					
	Explain:					
	c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector					
	Explain:					

Prop	perty address:	Inspector initials/Date:				
4.	Soil Separation – Compliance compor	nent #4 of 5				
	Date of installation: Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food Beverage Lodging? Compliance criteria:	☐ Unknown☐ Yes☐ No	Verification method(s): Soil observation does not expire. Previous soil observations by two independent parties are sufficient, unless site conditions have been altered or local			
	For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment: Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.	☐ Yes ☐ No	requirements differ. Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring logs) Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs) Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield) Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other (See Comments/Explanation)			
	Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment: Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*	☐ Yes ☐ No	Comments/Explanation:			
	"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required) Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.	☐ Yes ☐ No	A. Bottom of distribution media B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock C. System separation			
5.	Any "no" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater. D. Required compliance separation* *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Ordinance. Operating Permit and Nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #5 of 5 Not applicable					
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?					
	a. Operating Permit number: Have the Operating Permit requirements by	peen met?	☐ Yes ☐ No			
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and	properly functioning?	☐ Yes ☐ No			

Any "no" answer indicates Noncompliance.

Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 800-657-3864 TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats